REMARKS SECTION

243244

- 245 Remarks, General:
- 246 1. Would the examiner be kind enough to explain the differences and usages
- 247 between "Canceling a claim" and "Withdrawing a claim". In common vernacular, they
- 248 are synonymous.
- 249 However, MPEP 714 has different instructions pertaining to each. Clearly the PTO
- 250 has some not-obvious distinction that is important to the applicant, yet there is no
- 251 definition or illustration in the MPEP.
- 252 Since the answer does not directly bear on this office action, the answer may be
- 253 informal and sent by Email bross@seanet.com.
- 254 2. Not all word processors have strike-through capability. The MPEP gives no
- 255 alternative to the strike through process. Hand lining may satisfy the PTO, but does
- 256 not leave a properly marked copy in computer-readable form. [[]] or similar should
- 257 be permitted for any length of deletion the same as [] was previously permitted.
- 258 3. Since the PTO scan-to-text process is prone to both error and "thinking for itself",
- 259 thus re-formatting and re-paragraphing the specification arbitrarily, adding words.
- 260 dropping words and parts of words sometimes reversing the sense of a sentence, and
- 261 changing words like "figure" to "FIG, etc, it is recommended that the Office institute a
- 262 policy that before issue, each applicant be given the opportunity to review and correct
- 263 the version ready to be sent to the printer. This would eliminate many office-paid-for
- 264 certificates of correction.
- 265 In most cases, the 18 month pre-award publication will do. However, when no
- 266 publication is available because has not been published either automatically at 18
- 267 month (ie, patent issues before publication) or non-publication is requested, the
- 268 applicant should be provided with a copy for final review and edit.
- 269 The present application is not the only one for which applicant has had problems with

270 conversion errors. Surely, the examiner has seen this also. 271 Remarks Applicable Amendments to the Specification: 272 These have been inserted in the pages with the amendments to the specification. 273 Remarks Applicable to Amendments to the Claims: 274 Summary: 275 Claims 1-16 are no longer under consideration 276 Claims 17 - 27 are presented for consideration. None of the references cited show the combination of elements set forth in claims 277 278 currently under consideration. 279 Referring to Figures 18, 19 and 20, New claim 17 describes a panel 7 with the panel joining hooks 4 rotated 180 degrees from the Starheim patent 6,315,495. 280 281 One purpose for the reversal of the is to be able to use the alternate embodiments A. B. 282 and C of the joining clip shown in Figure 21, all of which may be used either straight as 283 illustrated or bent to become corner joiners in the general manner shown in Figure 18. 284 Referring to Figure 31, New claim 18 shows an embodiment of the panel joining clip 285 with a closed pocket for receiving a joining clip of the types A and B of Figure 21. The 286 channel embodiment of Figure 31 permits the simple joining clips of Fig 21 A and B to 287 join panels with both push and pull restraint. New claim 19 is a description of the embodiment shown in Fig 21 C. It is presented as 288 a separate dependent claim because the description of a retro-bend is grammatically 289 290 awkward to combine with the descriptions of the transverse bends at the ends of Fig 21 A and B. 291 New Claim 20 is for the joining system embodiment shown in Figure 39. 292 None of the prior art referenced show an attached rotating hook for joining adjacent panels. 293

New Claim 21 is for a ring embodiment of the cooperating portion of the hooking

294

295 system, pin 84 of Figure 39. Support for a ring is found in the specification at lines 296 729 - 734. In particular line 733. New Claim 22 is directed to the embodiment of Figures 22 and 23, and the clamps of 297 Figure 24. Text lines 636-663 298 New Claim 23 is directed to particular shapes of the apertures of Figures 22 and 23. 299 300 Text lines 636-663 301 New Claim 24 and 25 are for edge notching. Separate claims for top and bottom notching are presented to avoid awkward grammatical construction needed to 302 303 incorporate notches in two locations. 304 Text lines 636-663 305 New Claim 26 Is directed to the embodiment shown in Figure 25. 306 Text lines 665-669 None of the above claimed embodiments appear in the referenced patents. 307 308 Examiner cites US 5,689,920 and 5,454,195 by Jeffery Hallsten. The Halsten 309 disclosures show a method of clamping the liner similar to Figures 33 and 35 of the 310 present invention. No claims are pending using the embodiments of Figures 33 and 311 35. Therefore, Halsten cannot be used as a basis of rejection. 312 Remarks Applicable to Amendments to the Drawings: 313 No amendments to the drawing are presented. Respectfully submitted, 314 315 Bruce P. Ross 316

317 318

Bruce D. Ross, Joint Applicant

319 Telephone: 206 - 938 - 3059

320 or 206 - 935 - 4891

321 or bross@seanet.com

322	REQUEST FOR CONTINUED CONSTRUCTIVE ASSISTANCE:
323	
324	Applicants respectfully request that continue the practice of clear
325	writing and when applicable, re-draft or suggest changes to the claims
326	that are not entirely suitable, per MPEP 707.07j.
327	
328	
329	Respectfully submitted,
330	
331	Bruce D. Ross
332	·
333	Bruce D. Ross, Joint Applicant
334	Telephone: 206 - 938 - 3059
335	or 206 - 935 - 4891
336	or bross@seanet.com
337	
338	
339	
340	end